IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 14140 of 2005

Between:

U. Hosea S/o. Elisha, R/o. Opp:Rama Theatre Kovvur E.G.District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Commissioner of Higher Education Govt. of A.P Hyderabad.
2. The Regional Joint Director Rajahmundry, E.G.District.
3. The Regional Inspection officer Rajahmundry, E.G.District.
4. St.Mary's Nirmala Hrudaya Junior & Degree College Nirmalagiri Gowripatnam, W.G.District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus by declaring the inaction of the 2nd respondent in not taking any action on the representation dt.14-2-2005 submitted by me requesting to direct the 4th respondent to pay the amount mentioned in the said representation as arbitrary, illegal, unjust and consequently direct the respondent authorities to act upon the representation dt.14-2-2005.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.LINGESWARA RAO PANDRANKI

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR EDUCATION

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the second respondent in not disposing of his representation dated 14.02.2005.

According to the petitioner, he worked under the fourth respondents as a Junior Lecturer. However, the fourth respondent failed to pay salary payable in accordance with the Rules. Therefore, he made a representation to the fourth respondent for effecting payment of salaries, which were not paid. As there is no positive action on the part of the fourth respondent, he made a representation to the second respondent seeking appropriate orders, but even the second respondent has not passed any orders so far. Hence, the present writ petition.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Education appearing for the respondents.

As the representation of the petitioner is pending before the second respondent and the same has already been received by the second respondent, he is directed to dispose of the same expeditiously,

preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

01.07.2005

VGB

To

1. The Commissioner of Higher Education Govt. of A.P Hyderabad.
2. The Regional Joint Director, Education, Rajahmundry, E.G.District.
3. The Regional Inspection Officer, Education, Rajahmundry, E.G.District.
4. St.Mary's Nirmala Hrudaya Junior & Degree College Nirmalagiri Gowripatnam, W.G.District.
5. 2CCs to the Government Pleader for Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)
6. 2CD copies.